

File Number: 2004-0705 No. 04-28

EL19529

NOTICE OF INTENT TO ADOPT NEGATIVE DECLARATION

This form is provided as a notification of an intent to adopt a Negative Declaration which has been prepared in compliance with the provisions of the California Environmental Quality Act of 1970, as amended, and Resolution #118-04.

PROJECT TITLE:

Application for a Use Permit filed by AT&T Wireless

PROJECT DESCRIPTION AND LOCATION (APN):

Application for a Use Permit on a 4.5-acre site to allow installation of a 65-foot tall monopole camouflaged as a church tower containing six antennas with associated ground equipment. The property is located at **1025 The Dalles** in an R-1 (Low Density Residential) Zoning District. (APN: 320-11-010)

WHERE TO VIEW THIS DOCUMENT:

The **Negative Declaration**, its supporting documentation and details relating to the project are on file and available for review and comment in the Office of the Secretary of the Planning Commission, City Hall, 456 West Olive Avenue, Sunnyvale.

This **Negative Declaration** may be protested in writing by any person prior to **5:00 p.m. on Tuesday, October 19, 2004**. Such protest shall be filed in the Department of Community Development, 456 W. Olive Avenue, Sunnyvale and shall include a written statement specifying anticipated environmental effects which may be significant. A protest of a **Negative Declaration** will be considered by the adopting authority, whose action on the protest may be appealed.

HEARING INFORMATION:

A public hearing on the project is scheduled for:

Monday, October 25, 2004 at 8:00 p.m. by the Planning Commission in the Council Chambers, City Hall, 456 West Olive Avenue, Sunnyvale.

TOXIC SITE INFORMATION:

(No) listed toxic sites are present at the project location.

Circulated On September 29, 2004

Fred Bell, Principal Planne

Attachment C Page 2 of 19 File Number: 2004-0705 No. 04-28



PLANNING DIVISION CITY OF SUNNYVALE P.O. BOX 3707 SUNNYVALE, CALIFORNIA 94088-3707



NEGATIVE DECLARATION

This **Negative Declaration** has been prepared in compliance with the provisions of the California Environmental Quality Act of 1970, as amended, and Resolution #118-04.

PROJECT TITLE:

Application for a Use Permit filed by AT&T Wireless

PROJECT DESCRIPTION AND LOCATION (APN):

Application for a Use Permit on a 4.5-acre site to allow installation of a 65-foot tall monopole camouflaged as a church tower containing six antennas with associated ground equipment. The property is located at **1025 The Dalles** in an R-1 (Low Density Residential) Zoning District. (APN: 320-11-010)

FINDINGS:

The Director of Community Development of the City of Sunnyvale, California, hereby determines that an environmental impact report is not required. There are sufficient environmental controls incorporated into the Zoning and Subdivision regulations to ensure no significant detrimental effect by any proposed use, in the case of a PD overlay or any application for a Use Permit.

The above determination is based upon the initial study conducted in this matter; information provided by the applicant in an "Application for Environmental Clearance" that the use is in keeping with and not in conflict with the adopted General Plan, Zoning Ordinance and Subdivision Ordinance. The use is specifically permitted by a Use Permit and site and architectural control will be exercised by the Planning Commission. No endangered species are known to depend on this site for habitat.

This **Negative Declaration** may be protested in writing by any person prior to 5:00 p.m. on **Tuesday, October 19, 2004**. S uch protest shall be filed in the Department of Community Development, 4:56 W. Olive Avenue, Sunnyvale and shall include a written statement specifying anticipated environmental effects which may be significant. A protest of a Negative Declaration will be considered by the adopting authority, whose action on the protest may be appealed.

| Circulated On September 29, 2004 | Signed: |
|----------------------------------|------------------------------|
| | Fred Bell, Principal Planner |
| Adopted On | Verified: |
| | Fred Bell, Principal Planner |

Attachment C Page 3 of 19

File Number: 2004-0705 No. 04-28



California Department of Fish and Game CERTIFICATE OF FEE EXEMPTION

De Minimis Impact Finding

PROJECT TITLE/LOCATION (INCLUDE COUNTY):

The Use Permit is located on 1025 The Dalles, City of Sunnyvale, County of Santa Clara in an R-1 (Low Density Residential) Zoning District. (APN: 320-11-010)

PROJECT DESCRIPTION:

Application for a Use Permit on a 4.5-acre site to allow installation of a 65-foot tall monopole camouflaged as a church tower containing six antennas with associated ground equipment.

FINDINGS OF EXEMPTION:

- 1. This project is in an urban setting.
- 2. There is no alteration of land or effect on fish or wildlife.

CERTIFICATION:

I hereby certify that the public agency has made the above finding and that the project will not individually or cumulatively have an adverse effect on wildlife resources, as defined in Section 711.2 of the Fish and Game Code.


Title: Principal Planner, Community Development

Lead Agency: City of Sunnyvale

Date: September 28, 2004

Page 4 of 19
Project Number: 2004-0705
Project Address: 1025 The Dalles
Applicant: AT&T Wireless

| Project Title | Monopole at 1025 The Dalles |
|--|--------------------------------------|
| Lead Agency Name and Address | City of Sunnyvale |
| | PO Box 3707 Sunnyvale, CA 94088-3707 |
| Contact Person | Diana O'Dell |
| Phone Number | 408-730-7452 |
| Project Location | 1025 The Dalles |
| Project Sponsor's Name | AT&T Wireless / Velocitel |
| Address | 651 Gateway Blvd. |
| | South San Francisco, CA 94080 |
| Zoning | R-1 |
| General Plan | Low Density Residential |
| Other Public Agencies whose approval is required | None |



Surrounding Uses and Setting: The property is surrounded by single-family uses.

Project Number: 2004-0705 Project Address: 1025 The Dalles Applicant: AT&T Wireless

EVALUATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS



- 1. A brief explanation is required for all answers except "No Impact" answers that are adequately supported by the information sources a lead agency cites in the parentheses following each question. A "No Impact" answer is adequately supported if the referenced information sources show that the impact simply does not apply to projects like the one involved (e.g. the project falls outside a fault rupture zone). A "No Impact" answer should be explained where it is based on project-specific factors as well as general standards (e.g. the project will not expose sensitive receptors to pollutants, based on a project-specific screening analysis).
- 2. A brief explanation is required for all answers except "No Impact" answers that are adequately supported by the information sources a lead agency cites in the parentheses following each question. A "No Impact" answer is adequately supported if the referenced information sources show that the impact simply does not apply to projects like the one involved (e.g. the project falls outside a fault rupture zone). A "No Impact" answer should be explained where it is based on project-specific factors as well as general standards (e.g. the project will not expose sensitive receptors to pollutants, based on a project-specific screening analysis).
- All answers must take account of the whole action involved, including off-site as well as onsite, cumulative as well as project-level, indirect as well as direct, and construction as well as operational impacts.
- 4. Once the lead agency has determined that a particular physical impact may occur, then the checklist answers must indicate whether the impact is potentially significant, less than significant with mitigation, or less than significant. "Potentially Significant Impact" is appropriate if there is substantial evidence that an effect may be significant. If there are one or more "Potentially Significant Impact" entries when the determination is made, an EIR is required.
- 5. "Negative Declaration: Potentially Significant Unless Mitigation Incorporated" applies where the incorporation of mitigation measures has reduced an effect from "Potentially Significant Impact" to a "Less Significant Impact." The lead agency must describe the mitigation measures, and briefly explain how they reduce the effect to a less than significant level (mitigation measures from Section 17, "Earlier Analysis," may be cross-referenced).
- 6. Earlier analysis may be used where, pursuant to the tiering, program EIR, or other CEQA process, an effect has been adequately analyzed in an earlier EIR or negative declaration. Section 15063 (c) (3) (d). In this case, a brief discussion should identify the following:
- 7. Earlier Analysis Used. Identify and state where they are available for review.
- 8. Impacts Adequately Addressed. Identify which effects from the above checklist were within the scope of and adequately analyzed in an earlier document pursuant to applicable legal standards, and state whether such effects were addressed by mitigation measures based on the earlier analysis.
- Mitigation Measures. For effects that are "Less than Significant with Mitigation Measures Incorporated," describe the mitigation measures which were incorporated or refined from the earlier document and the extent to which they address site-specific conditions for the project
- 10. Lead agencies are encouraged to incorporate into the checklist references to information sources for potential impacts (e.g. general plans, zoning ordinances). Reference to a

Апаспшен С Page 6 of 19

Environmental Checklist Form

Project Number: 2004-0705 Project Address: 1025 The Dalles Applicant: AT&T Wireless

previously prepared or outside document should, where appropriate, include a reference to the page or pages where the statement is substantiated.

Project Number: 2004-0705 Project Address: 1025 The Dalles Applicant: AT&T Wireless

TI195%

ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS POTENTIALLY AFFECTED: The environmental factors checked below would be potentially affected by this project, involving at least one impact that is a "Potentially Significant Impact" as indicated by the checklist on the following pages. Hazards & Hazardous Public Services Aesthetics Materials Hydrology/Water Agricultural Resources Recreation Quality Land Use/Planning Air Quality Transportation/Traffic Utilities/Service ☐ Biological Resources Mineral Resources Systems Mandatory Findings of Cultural Resources Noise Significance ☐ Geology/Soils Population/Housing DETERMINATION: (To be completed by the Lead Agency) On the basis of this initial evaluation: I find that the proposed project COULD NOT have a significant effect on the environment, and a NEGATIVE \boxtimes DECLARATION will be prepared. I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, there will not be a significant effect in this case because revisions in the project have been made by or agreed to by the project proponent. A MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared. I find that the proposed project MAY have a significant effect on the environment, and an ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required. I find that the proposed project MAY have a "potential significant impact" or "potentially significant unless mitigated" impact on the environment, but at least one effect (1) has been adequately analyzed in an earlier document pursuant to applicable legal standards, and (2) has been addressed by mitigation measures based on the earlier analysis as described on attached sheets. An ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required, but it must analyze only the effects that remain to be addressed. I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, because all potentially significant effects (a) have been analyzed in an earlier EIR or NEGATIVE DECLARATION pursuant to applicable standards and (b) have been avoided or mitigated pursuant to that earlier EIR or NEGATIVE DECLARATION, including revisions or mitigation measures that are imposed upon the proposed project, nothing further is required. Vicne September 27, 2004 Signature Date Diana O'Dell City of Sunnyvale Printed Name For (Lead Agency)

Attachment C
Page 9 of 19
Project Number: 2004-0705
Project Address: 1025 The Dalles
Applicant: AT&T Wireless

| | | | Potentially Significant Impact | Less than Sig. With Mitigation | Less Than Significant | No Impact | Source |
|----|----------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------|----------------------------|
| 1. | AES | STHETICS. Would the project: | | | | | |
| | a. | Have a substantial adverse effect on a scenic vista? | | | | \boxtimes | 2, 94 |
| | b. | Substantially damage scenic resources, including, but not limited to trees, rock outcroppings, and historic buildings within a state scenic highway? | | | | \boxtimes | 2, 94 |
| | C. | Substantially degrade the existing visual character or quality of the site and its surroundings? | | | \boxtimes | | See disc. |
| | d. | Create a new source of substantial light or glare which would adversely affect day or nighttime views in the area? | | | | \boxtimes | 2, 94 |
| 2. | sigr app poll mal | QUALITY: Where available, the ifficance criteria established by the ilicable air quality management or air ution control district may be relied upon to the following determinations. Would the lect: | | | | | |
| | a. | Conflict with or obstruct implementation of the applicable air quality plan? | | | | \boxtimes | 3, 94, 100, 111 |
| | b. | Violate any air quality standard or contribute substantially to an existing or projected air quality violation. | | | | \boxtimes | 3, 94, 100, 111 |
| | C. | Result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the project region is non-attainment under an applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard (including releasing emissions which exceed quantitative thresholds for ozone precursors)? | | | | | 3, 96, 97, 100, 111 |
| | d. | Expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations? | | | | | 62. 63. 111. 112 |
| | e. | Create objectionable odors affecting a substantial number of people? | | | | \boxtimes | 111.112 |
| 3. | BIC | DLOGICAL RESOURCES: | | | | | |
| | а. | Have a substantial adverse effect, either directly or through habitat modifications, on any species identified as a candidate, sensitive, or special status species in local or regional plans, policies, or regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Game or U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service? | | | | | 2, 94, 111, 112, 109 |

City of Sunnyvale, Community Development Department PO Box 3707 Sunnyvale, CA 94087

Page 5 of 16

92

Attachment C Page 10 of 19

Environmental Checklist Form

Project Number: 2004-0705 Project Address: 1025 The Dalles Applicant: AT&T Wireless

| | | | | | | E | H | 09番 |
|----|----|---|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------|-------------------------------|-----|
| | | | Potentially Significant Impact | Less than Sig. With Mitigation | Less Than Significant | No Impact | Source | |
| | b. | Have a substantially adverse impact on any riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community identified in local or regional plans, policies, regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Game or U.S Wildlife Service? | | | | | 2, 94, 111, 112, 109 | |
| | C. | Have a substantial adverse effect on federally protected wetlands as defined by Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (including, but not limited to, marsh, vernal pool, coastal, etc.) through direct removal, filling, hydrological interruption, or other means? | | | | | 2, 94, 111, 112, 109 | |
| | d. | Interfere substantially with the movement of any resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with established native resident migratory wildlife corridors, or impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites? | | | | | 2, 94, 111, 112, 109 | |
| | e. | Conflict with any local policies or ordinances protecting biological resources, such as a tree preservation policy or ordinance? | | | | | 2, 94, 111, 112, 109 | |
| | f. | Conflict with the provisions of an adopted Habitat Conservation Pian, Natural Conservation Community Pian, other approved local, regional, or state habitat conservation plan? | | | | | 41,94, 111, 112 | |
| 4. | CU | LTURAL RESOURCES. Would the project: | | | | | | |
| | a. | Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource as defined in Section 15064.5? | | | | | 10, 42, 60, 61, 94, 111 | |
| | b. | Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of an archaeological resources pursuant to Section 15064.5 | | | | \boxtimes | 10, 42, 94 | |
| | C. | Directly or indirectly destroy a unique paleontological resource or site or unique geologic feature? | | | | | 10, 42, 94, 111 | |
| | d. | Disturb any human remains, including those interred outside of formal cemeteries? | | | | | 2, 111, 112 | |
| 5. | | ND USE AND PLANNING. Would the ject: | | | | | | |
| | a. | Physically divide an established community? | | | | \boxtimes | 2, 11, 12, 21, 28 | |

Attachment C
Page 11 of 19
Project Number: 2004-0705
Project Address: 1025 The Dalles
Applicant: AT&T Wireless

| | | | | | | | transt Alex Sun |
|----|-----|--|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------|-------------------------------|
| | | | Potentially Significant Impact | Less than Sig. With Mitigation | Less Than Significant | No Impact | Source |
| | b. | Conflict with an applicable land use plan, policy or regulation of an agency with jurisdiction over the project (including, but not limited to the general plan, specific plan, local coastal program, or zoning ordinance) adopted for the purpose of avoiding or mitigating an environmental effect? | | | | \boxtimes | 31, 28, 111 |
| | C. | Conflict with any applicable habitat conservation plan or natural communities conservation plan? | | | | \boxtimes | 2, 41, 94, 111 |
| 6. | MIN | NERAL RESOURCES. Would the project: | | | | | |
| | a. | Result in the loss of availability of a known mineral resource that would be of value to the region and the residents of the state? | | | | \boxtimes | 2, 94 |
| | b. | Result in the loss of availability of a locally- important mineral resource recovery site delineated on a local general plan, specific plan or other land use plan? | | | | \boxtimes | 2, 94 |
| 7. | NO | ISE. Would the project result in: | | , i | | | |
| | a. | Exposure of persons to or generation of noise levels in excess of standards established in the local general plan or noise ordinance, or applicable standards of other agencies? | | | | \boxtimes | 2, 16, 26, 94, 111, 112 |
| | b. | Exposure of persons to or generation of excessive groundborne vibration or groundborne noise levels? | | | | | 2, 16, 26, 94, 111, 112 |
| | C. | A substantial permanent increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project? | | | | | 2, 16, 26, 94, 111, 112 |
| | d. | A substantially temporary or periodic increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project? | | | | | See disc. |
| 8. | | PULATION AND HOUSING. Would the ject: | | | | | |
| | a. | Induce substantial population growth in an area, either directly (for example, by proposing new homes and businesses) or indirectly (for example, through extension of roads or other infrastructure)? | | | | | 2, 94 |
| | b. | Displace substantial numbers of existing housing, necessitating the construction of | | | | | 2, 11, 111, 112 |

Attachment C
Page 12 of 19
Project Number: 2004-0705
Project Address: 1025 The Dalles
Applicant: AT&T Wireless

| | | | | | | 110 |
|----|--|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------|--|
| | | Potentially Significant Impact | Less than Sig. With Mitigation | Less Than Significant | No Impact | Source |
| | replacement housing elsewhere? | İ | | | | |
| | c. Displace substantial numbers of people, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere? | | | | | 2, 11, 111, 112 |
| 9. | PUBLIC SERVICES. Would the project result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered government facilities, need for new or physically altered government facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services: | | | | | |
| | a. Schools? | | | | | 2, 111, 112 |
| | b. Police protection? | | | | \boxtimes | 26, 65, 66, 103, 104 |
| | c. Fire protection? | | | | \boxtimes | 26, 65, 66, 103, 104 |
| | d. Parks? | | | | | 2, 111, 112 |
| | e. Other services? | | | | | 111 |
| 10 | MANDATORY FINDINGS OF SIGNIFICANCE | | | | | |
| | Does the project have the potential to degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal, or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory? | | | | | 2, 10, 26, 42, 59, 60, 61, 111, 112 |
| | b. Does the project have impacts that are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable? ("Cumulatively considerable" means that the incremental effects of a project are considerable when viewed in connection with the effects of the past projects, the effects of other current projects, and the effects of probable future projects)? | | | | \boxtimes | 1, 2, 111, 112 |

| Envir | onmental Checklist Form | | | Project A | Pag ect Number ddress: 102 | chment C te 13 of 19 : 2004-0705 5 The Dalles | |
|--------|--|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------------|--|------|
| | | | | β | pplicant: A | &T Wireless | 1000 |
| | | Potentially Significant Impact | Less than Sig. With Mitigation | Less Than Significant | No Impact | Source | |
| C. | Does the project have environmental effects which will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly? | | | | | 111, 112 | |
| 11. GE | OLOGY AND SOILS. Would the project: | | | | | | |
| а. | Expose people or structures to potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury or death involving: | | | | | | |
| | i) Rupture of a known earthquake fault, as delineated on the most recent Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Map issued by the State Geologist for the area or based on other substantial evidence of a known fault? Refer to Division of Mines and Geology Special Publication 42. | | | | | UBC, UPC, UMC, NEC | |
| | ii) Strong seismic ground shaking? | | | | | и | |
| | iii) Seismic-related ground failure, including liquefaction? | | | | \boxtimes | 64 | |
| | iv) Landslides? | | | | | 84 | |
| b. | Result in substantial soil erosion or the loss of topsoil? | | | | | u | |
| c. | Be located on a geologic unit or soil that is unstable, or that would become unstable as a result of the project, and potentially result in on- or off-site landslide, lateral spreading, subsidence, liquefaction or collapse? | | | | | а | |
| d. | Be located on expansive soil, as defined in Table 18-a-B of the Uniform Building Code (1994), creating substantial risks to life or property? | | | | | a | |
| e. | Have soils incapable of adequately supporting the use of septic tanks or alternative waste water disposal systems where sewers are not available for the disposal of waste water? | | | | \boxtimes | a | |

Attachment C
Page 14 of 19
Project Number: 2004-0705
Project Address: 1025 The Dalles
Applicant: AT&T Wireless

| | | Potentially Significant Impact | Less than Sig. With Mitigation | Less Than Significant | No Impact | Source |
|----|---|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------|--|
| | TILITIES AND SERVICE SYSTEMS. Would a project: | | | | | |
| a. | Exceed wastewater treatment requirements of the applicable Regional Water Quality Control Board? | | | | \boxtimes | 2, 20, 24, 87, 88, 89, 90, 111, 112 |
| b. | Require or result in construction of new water or wastewater treatment facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects? | , | | | | 2, 20, 24, 25, 87, 88, 89, 111, 112 |
| C. | Require or result in the construction of new storm water drainage facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects? | | | | | 2, 20, 24, 25, 87, 88, 89, 111, 112 |
| d. | Have sufficient water supplies available to serve the project from existing entitlements and resources, or are new or expanded entitlements needed? | | | | | 2, 20, 24, 25, 87, 88, 89, 111, 112 |
| e. | Result in a determination by the wastewater treatment provider which services or may serve the project determined that it has adequate capacity to serve the project's projected demand in addition to the provider's existing commitments? | | | | | 2, 20, 24, 25, 87, 88, 89, 111, 112 |
| f. | Be served by a landfill with sufficient permitted capacity to accommodate the project's solid waste disposal needs? | | | | \boxtimes | 2, 22, 90, 111, 112 |
| g. | Comply with federal, state, and local statues and regulations related to solid waste? | | | | | 2, 22, 90, 111, 112 |
| | ANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC. Would the piect: | | | | | |
| a. | Cause an increase in the traffic which is substantial in relation to the existing traffic load and capacity of the street system (i.e., result in a substantial increase in either the number of vehicle trips, the volume to capacity ratio on roads, or congestion at intersections)? | | | | | 2, 12, 71, 75-77, 111, 112 |
| b. | Exceed, either individually or cumulatively, a level of service standard established by the county congestion management | | | | \boxtimes | 2, 12, 71, 75-77, 80, 84, 111, 112 |

Attachment C

Environmental Checklist Form

Page 1 of 19
Project Number: 2004-0705
Project Address: 1025 The Dalles
Applicant: AT&T Wireless

| | | | | | | K | 119 |
|-----|----|---|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------|---|
| | | | Potentially Significant Impact | Less than Sig. With Mitigation | Less Than Significant | No Impact | Source |
| | | agency for designated roads or highways? | - | | | | |
| | C. | Result in a change in air traffic patterns, including either an increase in traffic levels or a change in location that results in substantial safety risks? | | | | | 2, 111, 112, 113 |
| | d. | Substantially increase hazards to a design feature (e.g., sharp curves or dangerous intersections) or incompatible uses (e.g. farm equipment)? | | | | | 2, 12, 71, 75-77, 80, 84, 111, 112 |
| | e. | Result in inadequate emergency access? | | | | \boxtimes | 2, 111, 112 |
| | f. | Result in inadequate parking capacity? | | | | | 37, 111 |
| | g. | Conflict with adopted policies or programs supporting alternative transportation (e.g., bus turnouts, bicycle racks)? | | | | | 2, 12, 81, 111, 112 |
| 14. | | ZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS. ould the project? | | | 1 | | |
| | a. | Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through the routine transport, use or disposal of hazardous materials? | | | | | UFC, UBC, SVMC |
| | b. | Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through reasonably foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the likely release of hazardous materials into the environment? | | | | | UFC, UBC, SVMC |
| | C. | Emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances, or waste within one-quarter mile of an exiting or proposed school? | | | | | UFC, UBC, SVMC |
| | d. | Be located on a site which is included on a list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code Section 65962.5 and, as a result would it create a significant hazard to the public or the environment? | | | | | UFC, UBC, SVMC |
| | e. | For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area? | | | | \boxtimes | UFC, UBC, SVMC |

Attachment C
Page 15 of 19
Project Number: 2004-0705
Project Address: 1025 The Dalles
Applicant: AT&T Wireless

| | | | | | | -Bigo | and the case of |
|-----|--|---|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------|----------------------|
| | | | Potentially Significant Impact | Less than Sig. With Mitigation | Less Than Significant | No Impact | Source |
| | f. | For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area? | | | | \boxtimes | UFC, UBC, SVMC |
| | g. | Impair implementation of, or physically interfere with an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan? | | | | | UFC, UBC, SVMC |
| | h. | Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving wildland fires, including where wildlands are adjacent to urbanized areas or where residences are intermixed with wildlands | | | | | UFC, UBC, SVMC |
| 15. | RE | CREATION\ | | | | | |
| | a. | Would the project increase the use of existing neighborhood or regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated? | | | | | 2, 18, 111, 112 |
| | b. | Does the project include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment? | | | | | 2, 18, 111, 112 |
| | whe sign mar Eva pre Con ass | RICULTURE RESOURCES: In determining ether impacts to agricultural resources are nificant environmental effects, lead agencies y refer to the California Agricultural Land sluation and Site Assessment Model (1997) pared by the California Department of nservation as an optional model to use in lessing impacts on agriculture and farmland, uld the project: | | | | | |
| - | a. | Convert Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland or Farmland of Statewide Importance (Farmland), as shown on the maps prepared pursuant to the Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program of the California Resources Agency to non-agricultural use? | | | | \boxtimes | 94 |
| | b. | Conflict with existing zoning for agricultural use, or a Williamson Act contract? | | | | | 94 |
| | C. | Involve other changes in the existing environment which, due to their location or | | | | | 94 |

Attachment C Page 16 of 19

Environmental Checklist Form

Project Number: 2004-0705 Project Address: 1025 The Dalles Applicant: AT&T Wireless

| | | | | | K | ITO |
|----|---|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------|------------------------|
| | | Potentially Significant Impact | Less than Sig. With Mitigation | Less Than Significant | No Impact | Source |
| | Farmland, to non-agricultural use | | | | | |
| | DROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY. Would project: | | | | | |
| a. | Violate any water quality standards or waste discharge requirements? | | | | | 2, 24, 25, 111, 112 |
| b. | Substantially degrade groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with groundwater recharge such that there would be a net deficit in aquifer volume or a lowering of the local groundwater table level (e.g., the production rate of preexisting nearby wells would drop to a level which would not support existing land uses or planned uses for which permits have been granted)? | | | | | 2, 24, 25, 111, 112 |
| C. | Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, in a manner which would result in substantial erosion or siltation onor off-site? | | | | \boxtimes | 2, 24, 25, 111, 112 |
| d. | Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, or substantially increase the rate or surface runoff in a manner which would result in flooding on- or off site? | | | | | 2, 24, 25, 111, 112 |
| e. | Create or contribute runoff which would exceed the capacity of existing or planned stormwater drainage systems or provide substantial additional sources of polluted runoff? | | | | \boxtimes | 2, 24, 25, 111, 112 |
| f. | Otherwise substantially degrade water quality? | | | | | 2, 24, 25, 111, 112 |
| g. | Place housing within a 100-year floodplain, as mapped on a federal Flood Hazard Boundary or Flood Insurance Rate Map or other flood hazard delineation map? | | | | \boxtimes | 2, 24, 25, 111, 112 |
| h. | Place within a 100-year flood hazard area structures which would impede or redirect flood flows? | | | | | 2, 24, 25, 111, 112 |
| i. | Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving flooding, including flooding as a result of | | | | \boxtimes | 2, 24, 25, 111, 112 |

Attachment C

Environmental Checklist Form

Page 17 of 19
Project Number: 2004-0705
Project Address: 1025 The Dalles
Applicant: AT&T Wireless

| | Potentially Significant Impact | Less than Sig. With Mitigation | Less Than Significant | No Impact | Source |
|---|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------|------------------------|
| the failure of a levee or dam? | | | | | |
| j) Inundation by seiche, tsunami, or mudflow? | | | | \boxtimes | 2, 24, 25, 111, 112 |

Discussion:

Visual Impact: This project will be visible from surrounding properties. The applicant is proposing a tower camouflage which will reduce enhance the aesthetics of the tower and blend with other church buildings on-site.

| Diana O'Dell | <u></u> | 09/27/04 |
|--------------|---------|----------|
| Completed By | | Date |

Project Number: 2004-0705 Project Address: 1025 The Dalles Applicant: AT&T Wireless

City of Sunnyvale General Plan:

- Мар
- Air Quality Sub-Element
- Community Design Sub-Element
- Community Participation Sub-Element Cultural Arts Sub-Element
- 6.
- Executive Summary
- Fire Services Sub-Element 8.
- Fiscal Sub-Element
- Heritage Preservation Sub-Element 10.
- Housing & Community Revitalization Sub-11. Element
- Land Use & Transportation Sub-Element 12.
- Law Enforcement Sub-Element 13.
- Legislative Management Sub-Element
- Library Sub-Element Noise Sub-Element
- 16. 17. Open Space Sub-Element.
- Recreation Sub-Element 18.
- Safety & Seismic Safety Sub-Element 19.
- Sanitary Sewer System Sub-Element
- Socio-Économic Sub-Element
- Solid Waste Management Sub-Element 22.
- Support Services Sub-Element 23.
- Surface Run-off Sub-Element 24.
- Water Resources Sub-Element
- 26. City of Sunnyvale Municipal Code:
- 27.
- Chapter 10 Zoning Map 28.
- Chapter 19.42. Operating Standards 29.
- 30. Chapter 19.28. Downtown Specific Plan District
- Chapter 19.18. Residential Zoning Districts
- Chapter 19.20. Commercial Zoning Districts Chapter 19.22. Industrial Zoning Districts Chapter 19.24. Office Zoning Districts 32.
- 33.
- 34. Chapter 19.26. Combining Zoning Districts 35.
- Chapter 19.28. Downtown Specific Plan 36.
- 37. Chapter 19.46, Off-Street Parking & Loading
- 38.
- Chapter 19.56. Solar Access Chapter 19.66. Affordable Housing 39
- Chapter 19.72. Conversion of Mobile Home 40. Parks to Other Uses
- Chapter 19.94. Tree Preservation
- 42. Chapter 19.96. Heritage Preservation

Specific Plans

- El Camino Real Precise Plan 43.
- 44. Lockheed Site Master Use Permit
- Moffett Field Comprehensive Use Plan 45.
- 101 & Lawrence Site Specific Plan
- 47. Southern Pacific Corridor Plan

Environmental Impact Reports

- Futures Study Environmental Impact Report
- Lockheed Site Master Use Permit Environmental 49. Impact Report
- 50. Tasman Corridor LRT Environmental Impact Study (supplemental)
- Kaiser Permanente Medical Center Replacement 51.

- Center Environmental Impact Report (City of Santa Clara)
- Downtown Development Program Environmental 52 Impact Report
- Caribbean-Moffett Park Environmental Impact 53. Report
- Southern Pacific Corridor Plan Environmental 54. Impact Report

Maps

- 55. City of Sunnyvale Aerial Maps
- Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FEMA) 56.
- Santa Clara County Assessors Parcel
- 58. Utility Maps (50 scale)

Lists/Inventories

- Sunnyvale Cultural Resources Inventory List 59.
- 60. Heritage Landmark Designation List
- Santa Clara County Heritage Resource Inventory
- Hazardous Waste & Substances Sites List (State 62. of California)
- 63 List of Known Contaminants in Sunnyvale

Legislation/Acts/Bills/Codes

- Subdivision Map Act
- 65. Uniform Fire Code, including amendments per SMC adoption
- 66. National Fire Code (National Fire Protection Association)
- Titie 19 California Administrative Code
- California Assembly Bill 2185/2187 (Waters Bill)
- California Assembly Bill 3777 (La Follette Bill)
- 70. Superfund Amendments & Reauthorization Act (SARA) Title III

Transportation

- California Department of Transportation Highway
- 72. California Department of Transportation Traffic Manual
- 73. California Department of Transportation Standard
- California Department of Transportation 74 Standard Specification
- 75. Institute of Transportation Engineers - Trip Generation
- Institute of Transportation Engineers 76. Transportation and Traffic Engineering
- 77. U.S. Dept. of Transportation Federal Highway Admin. Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices for Street and Highways
- California Vehicle Code 78.
- 79. Traffic Engineering Theory & Practice by L. J.
- 80. Santa Clara County Congestion Management Program and Technical Guidelines
- 81. Santa Clara County Transportation Agency Short Range Transit Plan

Attachment C Page 19 of 19

Environmental Checklist Form

Project Number: 2004-0705 Project Address: 1025 The Dalles Applicant: AT&T Wireless

- Santa Clara County Transportation Plan Traffic Volume Studies, City of Sunnyvale Public works Department of Traffic Engineering Division Santa Clara County Sub-Regional Deficiency 83.
- 84. Plan
- Bicycle Plan 85.

Public Works

- Standard Specifications and Details of the Department of Public Works Storm Drain Master Plan
- 87.
- Sanitary Sewer Master Plan 88.
- Water Master Plan
- Solid Waste Management Plan of Santa Clara 90.
- County
 Geotechnical Investigation Reports 91
- Engineering Division Project Files Subdivision and Parcel Map Files 92.
- 93.

Miscellaneous

- Field Inspection 94.
- 95.
- Environmental Information Form
 Annual Summary of Containment Excesses 96. (BAAQMD)
- Current Air Quality Data
- Chemical Emergency Preparedness Program (EPA) Interim Document in 1985?)

- Association of Bay Area Governments (ABAG) Population Projections 99.
- 100. Bay Area Clean Air Plan
- City-wide Design Guidelines 101.
- Industrial Design Guidelines

- Building Safety

 103. Uniform Building Code, Volume 1, (Including the California Building Code, Volume 1)

 104. Uniform Building Code, Volume 2, (Including the
- California Building Code, Volume 2)
 Uniform Plumbing Code, (Including the California
- Plumbing Code)
 Uniform Mechanical Code, (Including the California Mechanical Code) 106.
- National Electrical Code (Including California 107.
- Electrical Code)
- 108. Title 16 of the Sunnyvale Municipal Code

- Additional References 109. USFWS/CA Dept. F&G Special Status Lists 110. Project Traffic Impact Analysis
- 111. Project Description
- 112. Project Development Plans
- 113. Santa Clara County Airport Land Use Plan
- 114. Federal Aviation Administration